



# City of Naples

| -SUBJECT-   | Page       |
|---|------------|
| -DISCUSSION OF BUYERS' TRANSFER TAX TO FUND OPEN SPACE ACQUISITION. REQUESTED BY MAYOR PUTZELL.   | 1-2        |
| -DISCUSSION OF INCREASING FINES FOR ILLEGAL PARKING. REQUESTED BY MAYOR PUTZELL.  | 2          |
| -DISCUSSION OF WATERWAYS ITEMS:<br>A) PROPOSED TAXING DISTRICTS TO FUND WATERWAYS MAINTENANCE; AND<br>B) "NO WAKE" ZONES IN NAPLES BAY.<br>REQUESTED BY MAYOR PUTZELL.              | 2-4<br>4-6 |
| -DISCUSSION OF POLICY PROHIBITING TWO OR MORE TOP LEVEL, KEY STAFF PERSONNEL AND CITY COUNCIL FROM FLYING TOGETHER IN PRIVATE, NON-COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT. REQUESTED BY MAYOR PUTZELL. | 6-7        |
| -DISCUSSION OF RATES FOR REFUSE COLLECTION. REQUESTED BY MAYOR PUTZELL.   | 7-8        |
| -DISCUSSION OF POLICY FOR CHARGING FOR USE OF THE CAMBIER PARK BAND SHELL. REQUESTED BY COUNCILMAN BARNETT.   | 8          |
| -PRESENTATION OF CIVIL DENFENSE PLAN. REQUESTED BY FIRE CHIEF.  | 8-10       |



COUNCIL MEMBERS

MOTION SECTION YES NO ABSENT

In response to Mayor Putzell, City Attorney Rynders explained that all taxes except ad valorem tax are authorized by State law and that the State has not authorized a property transfer tax. He pointed out, however, that there are a few such items for consideration by the legislature at this session.

Mayor Putzell suggested that the City Manager contact the Florida League of Cities for an opinion on such a tax. City Manager Jones stated that he could ask the League to poll the other cities in the area and also recommended an opinion from the County. He also proposed that the tax be imposed only on first-time buyers to assure that it is not a recurring tax.

It was the consensus of Council to direct the staff to pursue further study of a buyer's transfer tax.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

ITEM 2

DISCUSSION OF INCREASING FINES FOR ILLEGAL PARKING.

City Manager Jones referred to a hand-out with the current fine schedule for parking violations (Attachment #1). There are two methods for setting fines, he said: to preserve the revenue of the parking system and to impose a substantial penalty for offenses. It would be the Council's decision on any further action, he said, but added that there have been numerous complaints from the public who have witnessed handicapped and fire lane violations.

Mayor Putzell noted several letters from out-of-state visitors who stated that the present fine is merely considered payment for parking their vehicle. Mayor Putzell also expressed concern regarding on-street parking and suggested that the penalties for parking offenders be revised.

In response to Mayor Putzell, City Attorney Rynders advised that the penalty section of the Code was substantially revised in 1977 with minor changes occurring in later years.

Mr. Crawford observed that the trend in the total amount of parking fines has been lower in each of the subsequent recent years and City Manager Jones explained that over the past few years, permit parking availability has been expanded which has provided adequate parking to accommodate past offenders which reduced total parking fines collected.

City Manager Jones also explained that the City has one employee responsible for enforcing citations which are issued.

It was the consensus of Council to direct staff to update the current fine schedule and to bring its findings back for review by the Council.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

ITEM 3-a

PROPOSED TAXING DISTRICTS TO FUND WATERWAYS MAINTENANCE.

COUNCIL MEMBERS

|   |   |   |   |  |   |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| M | S |   |   |  | A |
| O | E |   |   |  | B |
| T | C |   |   |  | S |
| I | O | Y |   |  | E |
| O | N | E |   |  | N |
| N | D | S | O |  | T |

City Manager Jones advised that the three taxing districts for canal maintenance are in the process of being established. Under consideration for these districts are areas east and west of Naples Bay and also Moorings Bay. Local property owner groups are participating in discussions regarding the volume of fill to be removed and once that estimate has been made, the tax amount can then be determined, Mr. Jones said.

Coastal Engineering is preparing a feasibility plan as staff has found it difficult to ascertain actual dredging techniques and disposal methods because those areas are not naturally accessible, Mr. Jones pointed out. Assessments must include those additional costs. Mr. Jones then presented Council with a schedule of estimated costs herein included as Attachment #2.

City Manager Jones said that there were two methods to generate the funding: taxing and assessment districts. The major drawback of a taxing district is the requirement for property owners to approve a maximum millage by referendum. The cost of such a referendum, approximately \$10,000 to \$15,000, could, however, be distributed among the three districts, he said. This would not be necessary until after the budget was determined, Mr. Jones pointed out, and, that this millage would be an item on regular property tax bills. The assessment method, on the other hand, would require a billing and receiving procedure and placement of liens when assessments are not paid.

In response to Mr. Crawford, Mr. Jones explained that provisions both in the State Statutes and City Charter cover such assessments as well as taxing districts. Mr. Crawford said he supported the taxing district because it would be continuous.

In response to Mr. Richardson, City Manager Jones explained that an assessment would be collected over a maximum of seven years. He cautioned, however, that there are a number of risks involved with an assessment.

He also confirmed for Mr. Richardson that a taxing district could be done locally.

Mayor Putzell asked if there were any deadlines for placing an item on the upcoming ballot, and Mr. Jones advised that issues must be pre-qualified before they can be placed on the ballot, reiterating the costs involved with a special election.

In response to Mayor Putzell, City Manager Jones explained that the easiest way to set up a taxing district would be for citizens to pass a maximum millage and then levy a millage each year within that maximum. Mr. Jones cautioned, however, that a miscalculation of the millage rate could occur when estimating the cost of placement of spoils.

Mr. Bledsoe asked if the spoils would be placed on the land or in the water, and Mr. Jones said that spoils must be "dewatered" before they could be placed on land.



COUNCIL MEMBERS

PRESENT

said, would enforce the law on the Bay in an effort to negate the dangerous conditions.

Mayor Putzell said that he supported more law enforcement on the Bay as the presence of more uniforms would have a positive influence.

In response to both Councilmen Crawford and Richardson, City Attorney Rynders explained that an Administrative Court Order sets the amount of fines, but the City could determine that multiple offenses require a mandatory court appearance.

Mr. Richardson asked if the marine police could enforce a 10 m.p.h. speed limit, but Mayor Putzell noted that because of the hull design on certain boats, reduced speed often creates greater wakes. City Attorney Rynders clarified that while the Courts have specified minimum wake or no wake categories, the State will not establish a 10 m.p.h. speed limit.

Mr. Bledsoe asked if the No Wake Panel Committee was aware of this and City Attorney Rynders advised that it was.

Mr. Crawford noted the Committee's suggestions for marine radios and City Manager Jones advised that the boats have been so equipped.

City Manager Jones asked if it was the Council's desire to authorize staff to hire more than the budgeted compliment of officers prior to the end of this fiscal year. A new boat, he pointed out, would also be needed at an approximate cost of \$20,000, which is something that probably should be included in the Capital Improvement Program for next year.

Mayor Putzell said that he believed the stationing of a boat by the narrow channel in Royal Harbor and along Admiralty Parade, especially on weekends, would help the present situation. City Manager Jones agreed but pointed out that it would require additional people because stationing two boats there would commit the marine force. Mr. Jones said further that the current enforcement of the waterways is adequate to protect properties and there have been no violations in the areas which are posted. This particular area is not posted and is creating a problem.

Mr. Crawford reiterated his belief that if the zone were extended, boaters would loose patience and ignore the no wake zones. Mr. Bledsoe suggested that these two areas be posted "minimum wake" which might solve the problem. Mr. Jones pointed out that to place speed restriction signs in the Bay, the City must petition the State and request a minimum wake zone in those areas.

In response to Mr. Richardson, City Attorney Rynders advised that there are three law enforcement agencies enforcing the law on the Bay and each has a different perception of minimum wake; the enforcement of such a zone is purely opinion, he said.

Mayor Putzell pointed out that there are manatees in these areas and suggested using the manatees as a reason for requesting a minimum wake zone.

COUNCIL MEMBERS

M  
O  
T  
I  
O  
N  
  
S  
E  
C  
I  
O  
N  
D  
  
Y  
E  
S  
O  
  
A  
B  
S  
E  
N  
T

Mr. Crawford suggested that the proposed taxing districts millages be increased and the additional monies obtained be used to increase law enforcement on the Bay, but Mr. Jones pointed out that the problem area was specifically Naples Bay and did not include all Naples waterways.

Mayor Putzell also said that the Volunteer Police Corps could help patrol the waterways and suggested that the City lease a boat for uniformed officers and volunteers with adequate communication equipment to provide the necessary manpower for proper patrol of the Bay. He further suggested that enforcement officers be posted in Royal Harbor and along Admiralty Parade for a trial period. The most economical basis, he said, would be to rent a boat and try posting officers and volunteers in these two areas. The rental of this boat would allow a third patrol to police the Bay while stationing men at Royal Harbor and Admiralty Parade.

Mr. Crawford asked if the City could utilize confiscated boats and Mr. Jones advised that the City has, however, only confiscated one boat so far.

It was the consensus of Council to direct staff to rent a boat and temporarily increase its manpower to patrol Naples Bay by posting a patrol boat along Admiralty Parade and also by the narrow channel in Royal Harbor; the third patrol boat would be policing the rest of the Bay.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

ITEM 4

DISCUSSION OF POLICY PROHIBITING TWO OR MORE TOP LEVEL, KEY STAFF PERSONNEL AND CITY COUNCIL FROM FLYING TOGETHER IN PRIVATE, NON-COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT.

Mayor Putzell said that such a policy is standard practice in industry and pointed out that there have been tragic incidents involving top personnel. Such a tragedy could result in a tremendous loss should three of the City's key personnel be lost in an air crash.

Mr. Bledsoe said he supported such a policy. Mr. Richardson pointed out that often two or more key personnel are prohibited from flying on the same commercial flight as well.

City Attorney Rynders referred to a survey of government entities in Florida and pointed out that the League of Cities is not aware of any such policies.

Mr. Richardson pointed out that the Governor flies on a State plane, but City Attorney Rynders stated that the Cabinet and Governor can fly either on a charter or commercial airplane without restriction.

Mr. Crawford said he believed if there were restrictions placed on private aircraft use, then commercial should also be restricted.

In response to Mayor Putzell, Mr. Jones advised that this policy would affect department heads and

COUNCIL MEMBERS

MOTION Y N A  
S E C O N D S O T  
B S E N T

executive personnel and asked if this policy would also apply to personal travel; Mayor Putzell advised that it would not.

It was the consensus of Council to direct staff to draft a policy prohibiting two or more key personnel from flying together in private aircraft.

\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*

ITEM 5

DISCUSSION OF RATES FOR REFUSE COLLECTION.

City Manager Jones explained that the City's Auditor, Ron Wood, has advised that current refuse collection rates are inadequate. Rates are not keeping pace with growth which is causing deficits. Mr. Jones said that he believes the staff has developed a methodology which will solve the problem.

Finance Director Hanley and Mr. Wood referred to a hand-out regarding refuse collection rates herein included as Attachment #3.

Mr. Richardson pointed out that the County's refuse collection rate will increase by \$19.00 over the next five years.

Mr. Wood said that it would be most beneficial to the City to prepare a five-year rate study as the closing of the City landfill and construction of a transfer station would increase costs and every time County tipping fees increased, it will have to be passed on to the customers.

Mr. Crawford asked what percentage of indirect cost the utility was contributing to the City and Mr. Hanley confirmed that it was about 5%. Mr. Hanley also advised that currently the cost of collection to residential customers is \$100 per year with multi-family costs at \$62.

Mr. Hanley explained that the transfer station would achieve some savings as it would allow the City to purchase more fuel efficient equipment. The administrative cost of this station would be approximately \$100,000. Mr. Hanley referred to Attachment #3 which outlines the costs and savings which will be incurred by the transfer station.

He also advised that currently 82% of refuse collection workers' time is devoted to residential pick-up and 18% to multi-family and that the City is utilizing three men for residential pick-up and only one for commercial crews.

Mayor Putzell asked if there was an estimate of waste collected from construction activities and Utilities Director Chaffee advised that the City does not collect construction material as it is disposed of by private franchisees.

City Manager Jones advised that staff had made this presentation to explain the current operation and to determine a five-year rate which would be the most reasonable. The result of this study, he said, will be presented at the next workshop.



COUNCIL MEMBERS

MOTION COUNCIL Y A  
ION D S E N  
N O S O T

Mr. Richardson asked if these rates would be reviewed annually and Mr. Jones advised that they would.

It was the consensus of Council to direct staff to compile a rate schedule which will be reviewed by the Council at its next workshop meeting.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

ITEM 6

DISCUSSION OF POLICY FOR CHARGING FOR USE OF THE CAMBIER PARK BAND SHELL.

City Manager Jones advised that this issue arose when a school band director objected to the usual and customary fees involved in the use of park facilities after normal operating hours. The Code provides for a fee of \$20.00 per hour to such after hour uses.

It was the consensus of Council to direct staff to meet with the Superintendent of the School Board to make some arrangements for use of the Cambier Park Band Shell after hours.

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

ITEM 7

PRESENTATION OF CIVIL DEFENSE PLAN.

Fire Chief Ijams explained that the City's current disaster plan had been updated and noted several instances in which the plan had been implemented last year. The plan has been set up, he said, in phases and highlighted the main points of those phases.

Phase I entails notification of the County and the Natural Hurricane Center of hurricane-like conditions in the area. The Mayor, Council, department heads, and broadcast media are all notified in Phase I. During this time, the City Manager and Fire Chief decide whether to implement the command post. He pointed out that the employees who work shifts, such as fire and police, will be sent home to secure their family and then be required to return. The second and third shifts will also be called in to allow one shift to rest while the other two work.

This phase is implemented when the storm is between 24-36 hours away. At that point, the decision of evacuation must be made. The citizens can be evacuated out of Naples within a 12-hour period, he said, and pointed out that these 12 hours are from the time the evacuation order is given to the time the people reach their destination. Chief Ijams referred delineating early flooding of City streets to allow the staff to determine which streets are logically used for evacuation. The map is broken down into three zones, Chief Ijams said, and numbered to correspond with the County's zone designations.

Phase II will be evaluated as it occurs, Fire Chief Ijams advised. In a hurricane, it is presupposed that there will be a point when everyone will have



COUNCIL MEMBERS

M O T I O N S  
S E C O N D S  
Y E S  
N O  
A R S E N T

It was the consensus of Council to accept the staff's Civil Defense Plan.

\*\*\* \*\*\* \*\*\*

ADJOURN: 11:21 a.m.

EDWIN J. PUTZELL, JR., Mayor

JANET CASON  
CITY CLERK

JODIE M. O'DRISCOLL  
DEPUTY CLERK

These minutes of the Naples City Council were approved on ~~JUN 17 1987~~



Agenda Item #2  
5/27/87 Workshop

*City of Naples*

--- MEMO ---

TO: HONORABLE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL  
FROM: CITY MANAGER FRANKLIN C. JONES  
SUBJECT: DISCUSSION OF FINES  
DATE: MAY 22, 1987

---

To provide you with some background for Wednesday's discussion on parking fines, I have attached a revenue summary showing receipts from both parking fines and other city fines for the past three fiscal years. Also attached are the applicable Code pages showing the amount of fines for various violations.

Sincerely,

*Franklin C. Jones*  
Franklin C. Jones  
City Manager

FCJ/tan  
encs.



Agenda Item #3  
5/27/87 Workshop

*Copy of Naperville*

MEMO

HONORABLE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

REVENUE SUMMARY

FROM: CITY MANAGER FRANKLIN C. JONES  
SUBJECT: DISCUSSION OF FINES

DATE: MAY 22, 1987

|         | <u>Parking Fines</u> | <u>City Fines</u> |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1983-84 | \$8,279              | \$32,558          |
| 1984-85 | 7,857                | 44,205            |
| 1985-86 | 6,602                | 45,152            |

*Franklin C. Jones*  
City Manager

FCJ/jan  
encs.

§ 23-6.2

NAPLES CODE

§ 23-8

(b) The city manager is authorized to determine those streets upon which such vehicles are to be prohibited and shall cause signs to be posted on the streets so designated.

(c) The provisions hereof shall not be applicable to the following:

- (1) The operation of emergency vehicles.
- (2) The operation of any vehicle owned or operated by the city, public utilities, any contractor or material man while engaged in the repair, maintenance or construction of streets, utilities or structures.
- (3) The operation of garbage or trash trucks.
- (4) The operation of vehicles upon any street where necessary to the conduct of business, provided that such vehicles shall enter such designated streets at a safe intersection nearest destination of the vehicle and shall proceed thereon no farther than the nearest intersection thereafter. (Ord. No. 3008, § 1, 6-21-78)

**ARTICLE III. STOPPING, STANDING AND PARKING**

**Sec. 23-7. Standing or parking close to curb.**

No person shall stand or park a vehicle in a roadway other than parallel with the edge of the roadway headed in the direction of the traffic, and with the curbside wheels of the vehicle within twelve (12) inches of the edge of the roadway, except as provided in the following paragraph:

Upon those streets which have been marked or signed for angle parking, vehicles shall be parked at the angle to the curb indicated by such mark or sign. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72)

\$15.00  
fine

**Sec. 23-8. Unlawful parking.**

No person shall stand or park a vehicle upon any state, federal or local public right-of-way within the City of Naples for the principal purpose of:

\$5.00  
fine

Supp. No. 87

§ 23-8

TRAFFIC

§ 23-

- (a) Displaying the vehicle for sale.
- (b) Washing, greasing or repairing such vehicle, except repairs necessitated by an emergency. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72; Ord. No. 3460, § 1, 2-20-80)

**Sec. 23-9. Prohibited in specified places.**

\$15.00  
fine

(a) It shall be unlawful to park or stop any vehicle in such manner as to obstruct the free use of the streets by other vehicles or in such manner as to impede proper parking by any other vehicle or to impede traffic on the streets.

\$15.00  
fine

(b) No person shall park a vehicle in any area where the curb line is visibly marked by yellow paint indicating that no parking is permitted in said area, and said yellow paint marking shall have the effect of a no parking sign.

\$5.00  
fine

(c) No person shall stop, stand, or park a vehicle for any purpose or length of time, other than for the expeditious unloading and delivery or pickup and loading of materials, in any place marked as a loading zone during hours when the provisions applicable to loading zones are in effect. In no case shall the stop for loading and unloading of materials exceed thirty (30) minutes.

\$5.00  
fine

(d) No person shall stop, stand or park any vehicle upon a street, other than an alley, in such manner or under such conditions as to leave available less than ten (10) feet of the width of the roadway for free movement of vehicular traffic, except that a driver may stop temporarily during the actual loading or unloading of passengers or when necessary in obedience to traffic regulations or traffic signs or signals or a police officer.

\$5.00  
fine

(e) No person shall park a vehicle within an alley for over fifteen (15) minutes unless there is left available not less than eight (8) feet of the width of the roadway for the free movement of vehicular traffic.

\$5.00  
fine

(f) The driver of a bus or taxicab shall not stand or park a vehicle upon any street in any business district at any place other than a bus stop or taxicab stand, respectively, ex-

§ 23-9

NAPLES CODE

§ 23-9

cept that this provision shall not prevent the driver of any such vehicle from temporarily stopping in accordance with other stopping or parking regulations at any place for the purpose of and while actually engaged in loading or unloading passengers.

\$5.00  
fine

(g) No person shall stop, stand or park a vehicle other than a bus in a bus stop, or other than a taxicab in a taxicab stand when any such stop or stand has been officially designated and appropriately signed, except that the driver of a passenger vehicle may temporarily stop therein for the purpose of and while actually engaged in loading or unloading passengers when such stopping does not interfere with any bus or taxicab waiting to enter or about to enter such zone.

\$5.00  
fine

(h) Where signs are erected in each block giving notice thereof, no person shall park a vehicle on any street for a period of time longer than thirty (30) minutes between the hours of 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. of any day, except physicians on emergency calls.

\$5.00  
fine

(i) Where signs are erected upon approach to hazardous or congested places, no person shall stop, stand or park a vehicle in any such designated place.

\$25.00  
fine

(j) No person shall stop, stand or park a vehicle in any area designated as a fire lane, except for the purpose of loading or unloading handicapped passengers.

\$50.00  
fine

(k) No person shall stop, stand or park a vehicle within any parking space specially designated and marked for disabled or handicapped persons, except those persons so authorized under Section 320.0848, Florida Statutes.

\$15.00  
fine

(l) No person shall park a vehicle on any east-west avenue of the city west of Gulf Shore Boulevard between 20th Avenue South and 8th Avenue North or on 32nd Avenue South and 33rd Avenue South west of Gordon Drive, between the hours of 11:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. Exempted herefrom is 12th Avenue South and 8th Avenue North. Any vehicle parked in violation of this subsection 23-9(l) and left unattended is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the police department is hereby directed to



§ 23-9

TRAFFIC

§ 23-11

have such vehicle towed away in accordance with section 23-11 of the Code of Ordinances.

\$15.00  
fine

(m) No person shall park a vehicle at any time on Gulf Shore Boulevard between 20th Avenue South and 8th Avenue North (Golf Drive). Any vehicle parked in violation of this subsection 23-9(m) and left unattended is hereby declared to be a public nuisance and the police department is hereby directed to have such vehicle towed away in accordance with section 23-11 of the Code of Ordinances. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72; Ord. No. 3247, § 1, 5-2-79; Ord. No. 3344, § 1, 9-19-79; Ord. No. 3830, § 1, 9-2-81; Ord. No. 84-4450, § 1, 4-4-84)

**Sec. 23-10. Using vehicle for primary purpose of advertising and sale of merchandise.**

No person shall operate or park on any street any vehicle for the primary purpose of advertising or for the sale of any merchandise or anything of value unless a permit therefor is first obtained from the chief of police. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72)

**Sec. 23-11. Authority to remove and impound vehicles; notice; hearing; recovery of vehicles.**

(A) The chief of police or any police officer of the city is hereby authorized to provide for the removal to a garage or other place designated by the chief of police of any vehicle found unattended in the following instances or parked in violation of any of the provisions of this chapter:

- (1) When a vehicle is left upon any bridge, street, or public right-of-way and is so parked as to constitute a hazard or obstruction to the normal movement of traffic.
- (2) When a vehicle upon a street is so disabled as to constitute an obstruction to traffic, or the person or persons in charge of the vehicle are, by reason of physical injury, incapacitated to such an extent as to be unable to provide for its custody and removal.

§ 23-11

TRAFFIC

§ 23-12.1

(b) If the vehicle impoundment adjudication officer has adjudicated that the impoundment, towing and storage was presumptively in accordance with the applicable laws, statutes and regulations, the registered owner may recover the vehicle by appearing, within ninety (90) days after impoundment, at the vehicle impoundment facility with the police department impoundment release, proof of ownership and by paying the accrued impoundment, towing and storage charges.

(I) If a motor vehicle impounded pursuant to this section has not been recovered by the duly registered owner within ninety (90) days of the date of impoundment, the motor vehicle shall be disposed of as provided in Florida Statute Section 85.031 (formerly Section 86.08, renumbered Section 85.031 and amended by Laws 1967, Chapter 67-254, Section 37, effective June 26, 1967, Laws 1969, Chapter 69-106, Sections 24, 36, effective July 1, 1969; Laws 1970, Chapter 70-89, Section 1, effective July 1, 1970), or, in the alternative, the motor vehicle shall be disposed of as provided by other applicable laws or ordinances. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72; Ord. No. 83-4387, § 1, 12-21-83)

**Sec. 23-12. Limitation on parking in free off-street parking area.**

A two (2) hour parking limit is hereby established for those areas designated as free off-street parking areas in the City of Naples. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72; Ord. No. 3090, § 1, 9-20-78)

\$2.00  
fine

**Sec. 23-12.1. Emission from idling motor vehicles declared nuisance.**

(a) No person shall cause, let, permit, suffer or allow the engine of any parked motor vehicle to run or idle when emission from the engine exhaust creates a public nuisance.

(b) For the purposes of this section, the term "public nuisance" is defined as emission from the engine exhaust of any parked motor vehicle which by itself or in combination with other odors is or may be harmful or injurious to

§ 23-12.1

NAPLES CODE

§ 23-12.2

human health or welfare, or which unreasonably interferes with the comfortable use and enjoyment of life or property. (Ord. No. 2463, § 1, 5-19-76)

Editor's note—Ord. No. 2463, § 1, adopted May 19, 1976, specifically amended the Code by adding § 23-13 to Art. III. Due to the prior existence of a § 23-13 and at the discretion of the editor said section has been redesignated § 23-12.1.

#### Sec. 23-12.2. Penalty for violation.

(a) Any person violating any provision of this article shall be fined as follows:

- (1) Twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for violation of section 23-9(j).
- (2) Fifteen dollars (\$15.00) for violation of sections 23-7, 23-9(a), (b), (l) and (m).
- (3) Two dollars (\$2.00) for violation of section 23-12.
- (4) Five dollars (\$5.00) for violation of any of the remaining sections of this article.
- (5) Fifty dollars (\$50.00) for violation of section 23-9(k).

(b) Upon failure to pay said fine within a period of five (5) days, unless a court hearing is requested as hereinafter provided, a warrant may be issued for appearance before the court having jurisdiction thereof. Upon conviction of violation of any provision of Article III, except sections 23-9(j) and 23-9(k), such person shall be subject to a fine of up to twenty-five dollars (\$25.00); upon conviction of violation of section 23-9(j), such person shall be subject to a fine of up to fifty dollars (\$50.00); and upon conviction of violation of section 23-9(k), such person shall be subject to a fine of up to seventy-five dollars (\$75.00), or as otherwise provided by state law.

(c) Any person charged with violation of any provision of Article III, in lieu of paying the fine set forth above, may elect to have a court hearing before the court having jurisdiction thereof. Upon conviction of violation of any provision of Article III, except sections 23-9(j) and 23-9(k), such person shall be subject to a fine of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00); upon conviction of violation of section 23-9(j), such person shall be subject to a fine of fifty dollars

§ 23-12.2

TRAFFIC

§ 23-14

(\$50.00); and upon conviction of violation of section 23-9(k), such person shall be subject to a fine of seventy-five dollars (\$75.00), or as otherwise provided by state law.

(d) Any person who elects to have a court hearing as provided for in subsection (c) hereof and fails to appear at said hearing after timely notice is received, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and punished by a fine not exceeding three hundred dollars (\$300.00) and/or ninety (90) days in jail. Proof of mailing the notice of hearing by U.S. Mail shall constitute prima facie evidence that the notice was received. (Ord. No. 2777, § 1, 10-5-77; Ord. No. 3090, § 2, 9-20-78; Ord. No. 3247, § 2, 5-2-79; Ord. No. 3344, § 2, 9-19-79; Ord. No. 3459, § 1, 2-20-80; Ord. No. 3830, § 2, 9-2-81; Ord. No. 84-4450, § 2, 4-4-84)

#### ARTICLE IV. PARKING METERS

##### Sec. 23-13. Established; placement by city council.

Parking meters are hereby established within the territorial limits of the City of Naples, Florida, and the placing of these meters shall lie entirely within the power of the City Council of the City of Naples. (Ord. No. 1790, § 1, 8-2-72)

##### Sec. 23-14. Hours of operation.

(a) Parking meters shall be in effect from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. of each day at the following locations:

- (1) City parking lot at 12th Avenue South and Gulfshore Boulevard South.
- (2) 12th Avenue South between Gordon Drive and the pier.
- (3) Broad Avenue South between Gulfshore Blvd. and the beach.
- (4) 13th Avenue South between Gulfshore Blvd. and the beach.

(b) All other meters (except those described in subsection (c) below) shall be in effect from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. daily, exclusive of Sundays and the following holidays:

EAST SIDE - NAPLES BAY

| <u>CANAL</u>     | <u>LENGTH (FT.)</u> | <u>LENGTH TO BE DREDGED (FT.)</u> | <u>VOLUME TO BE DREDGED (CU. YD.)</u> |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 39               | 1,300               | 1,300                             | 2,407                                 |
| 41               | 1,400               | 1,400                             | 1,296                                 |
| 42               | 1,000               | 1,000                             | 1,852                                 |
| 43               | 2,800               | 2,800                             | 5,185                                 |
| 44               | 1,900               | 1,900                             | 1,759                                 |
| 45               | 1,500               | 1,500                             | 2,778                                 |
| 46               | 2,730               | 1,500                             | 1,400                                 |
| 49               | 5,190               | 100                               | 111                                   |
| 50               | 3,150               | 660                               | 733                                   |
| 51               | 2,700               | 1,470                             | 1,767                                 |
| 52               | 180                 | 180                               | 417                                   |
| <u>TOTALS</u>    | 23,850              | 13,870                            | 19,705                                |
| <u>COST</u>      | @ \$10/YD. -        | \$190,705                         |                                       |
|                  | @ \$20/YD. -        | \$394,100                         |                                       |
| <u># OF LOTS</u> | <u>LAND VALUE</u>   | <u>IMPROVEMENT VALUE</u>          | <u>TOTAL</u>                          |
| 571              | \$46,533,528        | \$33,221,825                      | \$77,444,778                          |

WEST SIDE - NAPLES BAY

| <u>CANAL</u>  | <u>LENGTH (FT.)</u> | <u>LENGTH TO<br/>BE DREDGED (FT.)</u> | <u>VOLUME TO<br/>BE DREDGED (CU. YD.)</u> |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 16            | 4,500               | 600                                   | 1,000                                     |
| 17            | 6,840               | 1,350                                 | 2,250                                     |
| 18            | 2,610               | 600                                   | 1,000                                     |
| 19            | 3,180               | 150                                   | 333                                       |
| 21            | 1,920               | 400                                   | 2,904                                     |
| <u>TOTALS</u> | 19,110              | 3,100                                 | 2,964                                     |

|             |                        |
|-------------|------------------------|
| <u>COST</u> | @ \$10/YD. - \$ 75,470 |
|             | @ \$20/YD. - \$150,940 |

| <u># OF<br/>LOTS</u> | <u>LAND VALUE</u> | <u>IMPROVEMENT<br/>VALUE</u> | <u>TOTAL</u> |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 384                  | \$57,236,150      | \$25,138,350                 | \$82,374,500 |

MOORINGS BAY

| <u>WATERWAY</u> | <u>AREA (ACRES)</u> | <u>AREA TO BE DREDGED (ACRES)</u> | <u>VOLUME TO BE DREDGED (CU. YD.)</u> |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| PELICAN BAY     | 56                  |                                   |                                       |
| VENETIAN BAY    | 109                 |                                   |                                       |
| MOORINGS BAY    | 39                  |                                   |                                       |
| BOWLINE BAY     | 9                   |                                   |                                       |
| HURRICANE BAY   | 33                  |                                   |                                       |
| <u>TOTALS</u>   | 246                 |                                   |                                       |

COST

@ \$10/YD -

@ \$20/YD -

# OF LOTS

347

LAND VALUE

\$54,285,625

IMPROVEMENT VALUE

\$29,263,125

TOTAL

\$83,548,750

RATE STUDY - GARBAGE

MONTHLY RATE FOR EACH SERVICE

| ter<br>e/# of<br>Pickups | NAPLES | COLLIER | LEE    | CAPE CORAL | SARASOTA | PUNTA GORDA |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|--------|------------|----------|-------------|
| 2 yd 1                   | -      | 67.63   | -      | -          | 41.75    | 20.27       |
| 2                        | 48.12  | 108.49  | -      | 90.38      | 83.50    | 40.55       |
| 3                        | 59.90  | 152.69  | -      | 128.10     | 125.30   | 60.82       |
| 4                        | 71.68  | 195.24  | -      | 165.78     | 167.05   | 81.08       |
| 5                        | 83.46  | 237.79  | -      | 203.49     | 208.80   | 99.41       |
| 6                        | 95.24  | 280.34  | -      | 241.19     | 250.60   | 121.62      |
| 4 yd 1                   | -      | 105.15  | 65.60  | 90.38      | 51.60    | 31.47       |
| 2                        | 71.68  | 177.67  | 131.20 | 165.78     | 103.25   | 62.92       |
| 3                        | 95.24  | 251.08  | 196.80 | 241.19     | 154.85   | 94.38       |
| 4                        | 118.80 | 323.59  | 262.40 | 316.57     | 206.50   | 125.85      |
| 5                        | 142.36 | 393.59  | 328.00 | 391.97     | 258.10   | 151.52      |
| 6                        | 165.92 | 470.33  | 393.60 | 470.40     | 309.70   | 188.75      |
| 6 yd 1                   | -      | 140.17  | 98.40  | 131.10     | 69.50    | 42.67       |
| 2                        | 95.22  | 251.08  | 196.80 | 244.20     | 139.00   | 85.30       |
| 3                        | 130.55 | 360.27  | 295.20 | 357.30     | 205.50   | 127.95      |
| 4                        | 165.88 | 470.33  | 393.60 | 470.40     | 278.00   | 170.60      |
| 5                        | 201.21 | 579.45  | 492.00 | 583.50     | 347.40   | 204.58      |
| 6                        | 236.54 | 691.28  | 590.40 | 696.60     | 416.90   | 255.90      |
| Curbside<br>Service      |        |         |        |            |          |             |
| Residential              |        | 8.28    | 8.50   | 10.96      | 9.00     | 24.40       |
| Multifamily/unit         |        | 8.28    | 8.50   | 8.83       | 9.00     | 24.40       |
| Duplex/unit              |        | 8.28    | 8.50   | 9.32       | 9.00     | 24.40       |
| Backyard<br>Service      |        |         |        |            |          |             |
| Residential              | 8.34   | 11.06   | -      | -          | 32.25    | 24.40       |
| Multifamily              | 5.16   | 11.06   | -      | -          | 32.25    | 24.40       |
| Duplex                   | 8.34   | 11.06   | -      | -          | 32.25    | 24.40       |





| COSTS  | Allocation Factor       | 5 YR Average | SOLID WASTE |            | TRASH      |           | Commercial |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
|  |                         |              | S.F.        | M.F.       | S.F.       | M.F.      |            |
| Personal Services - Solid Waste                | a. Time Study           | \$ 434,301   | \$ 356,127  | \$ 78,174  | \$ 166,792 | \$ 36,613 | \$ 280,51  |
| - Trash  |                         | 203,405      |             | "          |            |           | 361,55     |
| - Commercial                                   |                         | 280,519      |             |            |            |           | 189,06     |
| Transportation - Scooters                      | b. # units, volume      | 516,568      | 120,876     | 34,094     | 63,200     | 17,826    | 150,41     |
| - Other  |                         | 270,088      |             |            |            |           | 27,80      |
| Savings due to Transfer Station Administrative | c. # units              | 34,140       | 26,629      | 7,511      | 61,453     | 17,333    | 22,05      |
| Transfer Station Depreciation                  | d. # units, # employees | 169,000      | 42,182      | 11,898     | 63,274     | 17,846    | 33,80      |
| Depreciation - Contributed Capital             |                         | 111,205      | 40,764      | 11,497     | 24,287     | 6,850     | 27,80      |
| - Other  |                         | 45,000       | 7,020       | 1,980      | 10,881     | 3,069     | 67,92      |
| ALL Other Costs                                | e. # units, \$ budget   | 75,662       | 21,246      | 5,992      | 12,984     | 3,662     | 31,77      |
| TOTAL ALL COSTS                                |                         | \$2,274,606  | \$ 663,511  | \$ 164,872 | \$ 276,323 | \$ 67,507 | \$1,102,39 |
| Less Tipping Fee                               |                         | (786,656)    | (120,876)   | (34,094)   | (63,200)   | (17,826)  | (550,66    |
| TOTAL COSTS LESS TIPPING FEE                   |                         | \$1,487,950  | \$ 542,635  | \$ 130,778 | \$ 213,123 | \$ 49,681 | \$ 551,73  |

- a. Based on actual time using stop watch for each type of service.
- b. Volume study reflects tonnage generated by each type of service for 12 month period.
- c. Number of units is the actual number of customers served by class i.e. single family - multi family
- d. Based on number of employees in each service provided; residential, trash, commercial.
- e. Based on the budget amount for each type of service.